

## Planning Team Report

Various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and changes to the land zoning and lot size maps.

Proposal Title : Proposal Summary :	Various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and changes to the land zoning and lot size maps. To undertake a housekeeping amendment of various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and changes to the land zoning and lot size maps.			
PP Number :	PP_2013_MOREE_002_00	Dop File No :	13/15014	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	05-Sep-2013	LGA covered :	Moree Plains	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Moree Plains Shire Council	
State Electorate :	BARWON	Section of the Act	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Housekeeping			
Location Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode	
Land Parcel : Mo	ree Plains Local Government Area			
DoP Planning Offic	er Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Craig Diss			
Contact Number :	0267019685			
Contact Email :	craig.diss@planning.nsw.gov.au			
<b>RPA Contact Detai</b>	ls			
Contact Name :	Murray Amos			
Contact Number :	0267573252			
Contact Email :	murray.amos@mpsc.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Manag	er Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy :	N/A	

Various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and changes to the land zoning and lot size maps.

MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	10	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	10
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Code of Practice in relation complied with to the best of the with any lobbyists in relation to of any meeting between other l proposal.	e Region's knowledge. The N o this proposal, nor has the I	Northern Region been advised
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objective and intended of for the proposed amendment		oposal are adequately expressed
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)		
ls an evolution of pro	visions provided? Yes		
is an explanation of pro		des a slass syntamation of th	e intended provisions to achieve
Comment :	The Planning Proposal provi the objectives and intended		
	the objectives and intended		

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

- \* May need the Director General's agreement
- **1.2 Rural Zones** 1.5 Rural Lands **3.1 Residential Zones** 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to	
various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and	
changes to the land zoning and lot size maps.	

		6.3 Site Specific Provisions
In the Director Conce	al's agreement required? Y	
	lard Instrument (LEPs) Ord	
d) Which SEPPs have th	. ,	SEPP No 30—Intensive Agriculture SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The New England No Plains LGA.	rth West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan applies to the Moree
Have inconsistencies wi	th items a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	Refer to discussion b	pelow.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Ye	25	
Comment :	proposed changes re adequate for an asses identifying and highli	al contains the amended map sheets needed to implement the lating to Items 4 and 7 of the proposal. While these map sheets are ssment of the proposal, it is recommended that maps clearly ghting the proposed changes also be included prior to public le community when reviewing the proposal.
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Yes	
Comment :		I a 14 day exhibition period for the proposal. The Planning ad to be a 'low impact' proposal and the proposed notification to be satisfactory.
Additional Director G	eneral's requirement	5
Are there any additional	Director General's requirem	nents? No
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of	the proposal	
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropria 2. Providing a suitable outcomes; 3. Providing an adequ 4. Outlining a propose 5. Providing a project Council is not seeking understood that Coun	al and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the ate objectives and intended outcomes; e explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the sate justification for the proposal; ed community consultation program; and time line. g an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. It is ucil has not yet formally accepted its plan making delegations, and tion cannot be issued (despite the Planning Proposal dealing only

Various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and changes to the land zoning and lot size maps.

The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for submission to the Department for finalisation in November 2013. The time line has not included any time frame for consultation with public authorities. A 9 month time frame for completion of the proposal is recommended. It is noted that a revised project time line (that includes a consultation period with public authorities) will need to be included in the Planning Proposal prior to public exhibition.

## Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in Moree Plains LEP 2011 was notified on 9 December 2011. relation to Principal LEP :

## **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The proposed amendment is not the result of any specific strategic study or report.			
	The Planning Proposal is needed to make various corrections and refinements to the Plan that have been identified since it was made. A total of 7 corrections or refinements have been identified in the Planning Proposal. The corrections and refinements are considered to be appropriate and are adequately justified by the Relevant Planning Authority in the Planning Proposal. The corrections and refinements can be classified into the following broad categories:			
	1. Improve housing choice within the RU1, RU4 and R5 zones; a. Item 1 - permit secondary dwellings with consent in the R5 Large Lot Residential Zone; b. Item 2 - permit with consent dual occupancies (detached) in the RU1 Primary Production Zone and include an additional local clause relating to detached dual occupancies; c. Item 7 - vary the minimum lot size for land at Ashley in the RU4 Primary Production Small Lots Zone from 20ha to 10ha.			
	2. Facilitate the growth and development of rural industries, agriculture, mining and extractive industries; a. Item 3 - amend Clause 7.2 Erection of rural workers' dwellings to permit the erection of more than 1 rural workers' dwelling on land comprising the agricultural or rural industry; b. Item 5 - To include a local clause relating to temporary workers accommodation for agricultural, large scale infrastructure and mining workers; c. Item 6 - permit water storage facilities with consent in the RU1 Primary Production Zone.			
	3. Correct minor drafting anomalies. a. Item 4 - removal of an incorrect R5 Zone label on Lot 392 DP 751780, Amaroo Drive, South Moree.			

anges to the land a	zoning and lot size ma	ps.					
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	England North West St	the Planning Proposal are consider trategic Regional Land Use Plan, Cou nent Strategy, SEPPs and section 11	Incil's department approved				
	density of land within a matter of minor signific	consistent with the Direction as they a rural zone. The inconsistency is co cance as:	nsidered to be justified as a				
	<ul> <li>Item 2 - Council will be seeking that both dwellings in a detached rural dual occupancy are located within 200m, share a common road access and are not located on land currently being utilised for agricultural purposes. While these will be merit assessment issues (rather than a prohibition), Council's intended approach to this form of development is likely to reduce any adverse impacts associated with additional rural dwellings that could alienate valuable rural land from agricultural production;</li> <li>Item 3 - the number of additional rural workers' dwellings likely to result from permitting more than 1 on any land is considered to be very low, particularly if detached dual occupancies become permissible in the RU1 Zone; and</li> <li>Item 7 - the reduction of the minimum lot size in the RU4 Zone at Ashley directly adjoins the existing village area and has the potential to create only 10 additional lots in the locality.</li> <li>Section 117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>Items 1, 2, 3, 5 and 7 are inconsistent with the Direction as they increase the development potential of land identified within a flood planning area. The inconsistency is considered to be justified as a matter of minor significance as the Moree Plains LEP 2011 already contains appropriate provisions in relation to flood planning and due to the relatively small increases in actually development that are anticipated to result from the proposal. It is however recommended that the Office of Environment and Heritage - Floodplain Unit be consulted prior to exhibition in regards to flooding issues due to the extent of broad scale flooding that does occur in the Moree Plains LGA.</li> </ul>						
					prone. The Direction pr NSW Rural Fire Service control. Consultation w	re Protection ich the Planning Proposal applies is ovides that the RPA must consult w e, and the draft plan must include pro ith the RFS is required after the Gat ion has occurred the consistency of	ith the Commissioner of the ovisions relating to bushfire eway Determination is issued
				Environmental social economic impacts :	No significant adverse economical, social or environmental impact from the Planning Proposal has been identified. The improved housing choice and support for primary production industries that will occur due to the Planning Proposal is anticipated to have positive economic and social impacts for the Moree Plains LGA.		
				ssessment Proces	SS		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days				
	C	Delegation	DDG				
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		bbo				

Various corrections and refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to various local clauses and land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and changes to the land zoning and lot size maps.

Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes			
		•	roceed and that consultation be undertaken with the e of Environment and Heritage - Floodplain Unit.	
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	): No			
If Yes, reasons :	Resubmission of the Planning Proposal prior to exhibition is not needed.			
	the Planning Proposal. It is r Planning Proposal be amend explanation of the intended of the Parliamentary Counsel's legally be made. In this regar relation to Item 2 - Detached create a prohibition if certain create a 'sub zone' contrary to under the provisions of the E has been discussed with Cou- the Gateway determination re-	ncluded potential draft clauses relating to lter ecommended that the Gateway determination led prior to exhibition to include only a plain i clauses. The drafting of the clauses can then Office to ensure they achieve their intended rd it is noted that the current clause prepared Dual Occupancies in Zone RU1 appears to be requirements can't be met. It is understood to to the Standard Instrument LEP Order and ca Environment Planning and Assessment Act, 1 uncil staff who have confirmed that they raise equiring that the final clause be drafted as a ' than as a prohibition clause, to overcome th	a require that the English be undertaken by purpose and can by Council in e drafted to that such clauses n't be made 979. This matter e no objeciton to heads of	
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasons	\$ ;			
No additional studies h	ave been identified as needed	to support the proposal.		
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	n required			
Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons :	ing of state infrastructure releva	int to this plan? <b>No</b>		
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public	
Planning Proposal - Council letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pdf Planning Proposal - Business Paper and Minutes - 11 July 2013.pdf		Proposal Covering Letter Proposal	Yes Yes Yes	
Planning Proposal.pdf Planning Proposal - Bus	siness Paper and Minutes - 11	Proposal	Yes	
Planning Proposal.pdf Planning Proposal - Bus July 2013.pdf			Yes	
Planning Proposal.pdf Planning Proposal - Bug July 2013.pdf 	nendation		Yes	
Planning Proposal.pdf Planning Proposal - Bug July 2013.pdf 	nendation	age : Recommended with Conditions	Yes	

		-
	d refinements to Moree Plains LEP 2011 including amendments to	
	nd land use tables, the inclusion of an additional local clause and	
changes to the land zon	ning and lot size maps.	_
	1) The Planning Proposal be supported subject to conditions:	1
	2) The Planning Proposal be exhibited for a period of 14 days;	
	3) The Planning Proposal should be completed within 9 months;	
	4) The Planning Proposal be amended prior to exhibition to:	
	- contain only a plain English explanation of the intended clauses;	
	<ul> <li>amend the proposed local clause in Item 2 - Detached Dual Occupancies in Zone RU1 to be a heads of consideration matter;</li> </ul>	
	- include maps clearly identifying and highlighting the proposed map changes for Items 4	
	and 7;	
	5) Consultation with the following agencies and organisations should be required prior to	
	public exhibition:	
	- NSW Rural Fire Service; and	
	- NSW Office of Heritage and Environment - Floodplain Unit;	
	6) The Director General's delegate agree that the inconsistencies with s117 Directions 1.2	
	Rural Lands and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are justified;	
	7) The potential unresolved inconsistency with s117 Directions 4.4 Planning for Bushfire	
	Protection be noted; 8) That a revised project time line (that addresses consultation with public authorities and	
	a 9 month completion time frame) be included in the Planning Proposal prior to public	
	exhibition; and	
	9) Than an authorisation to exercise plan making delegations not be issued to Council.	
Supporting Researce		
Supporting Reasons	The Planning Proposal will undertake various corrections and refinements to the Moree Plains LEP 2011. These corrections and refinements are considered as important in	
	permitting the LEP to operate effectively and accurately. The improved housing choice	
	and support for primary production industries that will occur due to the Planning	
	Proposal is anticipated to have positive economic and social impacts for the Moree	
	Plains LGA.	
	The proposal as recommended is considered to be consistent with the strategic planning	
	framework (or justifably inconsistent with the relevant s117 Directions).	
	Λ	
	$\wedge$ .	
Signature:	W	
Printed Name:	Craig Diss Date: 12/9/13	